

Geodesic Completeness around Sudden Singularities

L. Fernández-Jambrina* and R. Lazkoz†

*E.T.S.I. Navales, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Arco de la Victoria s/n, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

†Física Teórica, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco, Apdo. 644, E-48080 Bilbao, Spain

Abstract. In this talk we analyze the effect of recently proposed classes of sudden future singularities on causal geodesics of FLRW spacetimes. Geodesics are shown to be extendible and just the equations for geodesic deviation are singular, although tidal forces are not strong enough to produce a Big Rip.

Keywords: Sudden singularities, Big Rip, Cosmology, Geodesics

PACS: 04.20.Dw, 98.80.Jk

INTRODUCTION

The experimental evidence of accelerated expansion of the universe (supernovae type Ia, redshift of distant objects and fluctuations of background radiation) implemented in cosmological ghost models, $\rho + p < 0$, where ρ is the density of the matter content and p is the pressure, leads to an unexpected kind of singularities, named as “big rip”, since they would destroy galactic structures and even atoms due to accelerated expansion [1] of the universe.

In FLRW cosmologies these singularities are characterized by an infinite scale factor at a finite proper time, $a(t_s) \rightarrow \infty$.

These FLRW models make use of perfect fluid equations of state that violate all energy conditions (weak, strong and dominant), since $\rho + p < 0$.

SUDDEN SINGULARITIES

Barrow [2] suggests that such behaviour may be attained even if the strong energy condition is fulfilled

$$\rho > 0, \quad \rho + 3p > 0,$$

by rejecting linear equations of state, $p \neq w\rho$. We may see it by taking a look at Friedmann equations for FLRW cosmologies with Hubble constant $H := \dot{a}/a$,

$$\begin{aligned} 3H^2 &= \rho - \frac{k}{a^2}, \\ \rho' + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{a''}{a} = -\frac{\rho + 3p}{6}.$$

If we require $a(t_s), H(t_s) < \infty$ (that is, finite $a(t_s), a'(t_s)$), we obtain finite density $\rho(t_s) < \infty$, but still a singularity may occur if $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$ at t_s . Of course, this means $a''/a \rightarrow -\infty$.

Barrow achieves this behaviour with the following model,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t) \left\{ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right\},$$

$$a(t) = 1 + \left(\frac{t}{t_s} \right)^q (a_s - 1) - \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_s} \right)^n,$$

with constants $a_s = a(t_s)$, $0 < q \leq 1$, $1 < n < 2$, $k = 0, \pm 1$.

Of course, since

$$a''(t) = q(q-1) \frac{a_s - 1}{t_s^q} t^{q-2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{t_s^2 (1 - t/t_s)^{2-n}} \rightarrow -\infty,$$

there is a Big Bang singularity at $t = 0$ and a “sudden” singularity at $t = t_s$.

As we required, since ρ and p are positive, weak and strong energy conditions are fulfilled. But $\rho - p$ is negative at some time. Therefore the dominant energy condition is violated [3]. Further examples of this behaviour have been shown by Barrow [4], Dabrowski, for inhomogenous models [5] and Nojiri and Odintsov, by considering quantum corrections [6]. The sudden singularity is even “milder” if a'' is finite and higher derivatives diverge for larger values of n .

GEODESIC COMPLETENESS

These models therefore show a curvature singularity at t_s , though both a and H are finite there. This behaviour is different from Big Rip singularities found in phantom cosmologies.

However, the usual definition for singularities refers to incomplete causal geodesics [7]. It is reasonable to ask whether causal geodesics are incomplete in Barrow’s models.

Since FLRW spacetimes are homogeneous and isotropic, they have six independent isometry generators,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \frac{\sin \theta \cos \phi}{f(r)} \partial_r + \frac{\cos \theta \cos \phi}{r f(r)} \partial_\theta - \frac{\sin \phi}{r f(r) \sin \theta} \partial_\phi, \\ \xi_2 &= \frac{\sin \theta \sin \phi}{f(r)} \partial_r + \frac{\cos \theta \sin \phi}{r f(r)} \partial_\theta + \frac{\cos \phi}{r f(r) \sin \theta} \partial_\phi, \\ \xi_3 &= \frac{\cos \theta}{f(r)} \partial_r - \frac{\sin \theta}{r f(r)} \partial_\theta, \\ \zeta_1 &= \cos \phi \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \sin \phi \partial_\phi, \\ \zeta_2 &= \sin \phi \partial_\theta + \cot \theta \cos \phi \partial_\phi, \\ \zeta_3 &= \partial_\phi, \end{aligned}$$

which produce six constants of geodesic motion for a geodesic parametrized by its proper time τ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= a(t) \left\{ \frac{r (\cos \theta \cos \phi \dot{\theta} - \sin \theta \sin \phi \dot{\phi})}{f(r)} + f(r) \sin \theta \cos \phi \dot{r} \right\}, \\
P_2 &= a(t) \left\{ \frac{r (\cos \theta \sin \phi \dot{\theta} + \sin \theta \cos \phi \dot{\phi})}{f(r)} + f(r) \sin \theta \sin \phi \dot{r} \right\}, \\
P_3 &= a(t) (f(r) \cos \theta \dot{r} - r \sin \theta \dot{\theta} / f(r)), \\
L_1 &= a(t) r^2 (\cos \phi \dot{\theta} - \sin \theta \cos \theta \sin \phi \dot{\phi}), \\
L_2 &= a(t) r^2 (\sin \phi \dot{\theta} + \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \phi \dot{\phi}), \\
L_3 &= a(t) r^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Geodesic differential equations for timelike ($\delta = 1$) and lightlike ($\delta = 0$) reduce to first order,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{t}^2 &= \delta + \frac{P^2 + kL^2}{a(t)}, \\
\dot{r} &= \frac{P_1 \sin \theta \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \theta \sin \phi + P_3 \cos \theta}{a(t) f(r)}, \\
\dot{\theta} &= \frac{L_1 \cos \phi + L_2 \sin \phi}{a(t) r^2}, \\
\dot{\phi} &= \frac{L_3}{a(t) r^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \\
P^2 &= P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2, \quad L^2 = L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The system may be further simplified, since due to spherical symmetry every geodesic may be fit in the hypersurface $\theta = \pi/2$, with $L_1 = L_2 = 0 = P_3$, by a suitable choice of the coordinates, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{t}^2 &= \delta + \frac{P^2 + kL^2}{a(t)}, \\
\dot{r} &= \frac{P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi}{a(t) f(r)}, \\
\dot{\phi} &= \frac{L_3}{a(t) r^2},
\end{aligned}$$

These equations are not singular for finite $a(t)$. Therefore, we realize that geodesics just see the Big Bang singularity at $t = 0$, but not the sudden singularity at $t = t_s$ [8]. Furthermore, since a, a' are finite at t_s , the acceleration vector of the geodesic, $(\ddot{t}, \ddot{r}, \ddot{\theta}, \ddot{\phi})$, which comprises the effect of inertial forces, is also regular. Only the third derivative is singular at t_s , but we just require first and second derivatives to define geodesic equations.

TIDAL FORCES

Causal geodesics in such universes do not see the singularities but through geodesic deviation effects, since they are due to the Riemann tensor. Point particles travelling along causal geodesics do not experience any singularity, but extended objects might suffer infinite tidal forces at $t = t_s$.

According to Tipler's definition [9] a strong curvature singularity is encountered at a point p if every volume element defined by three linearly independent, vorticity-free, geodesic deviation vectors along every causal geodesic through p vanishes at this point.

Clarke and Krolak [10] provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the appearance of strong curvature singularities. If a causal geodesic meets a strong singularity at a value τ_s ,

$$\int_0^\tau d\tau' \int_0^{\tau'} d\tau'' |R^i_{0j0}(\tau'')| ,$$

will diverge along the geodesic on approaching τ_s .

In the case of sudden singularities, the components of the Riemann tensor diverge as a'' , since a' and a are finite; and in the worst case they diverge as a power $n - 2$, for $1 < n < 2$. Therefore after one integration of the components of the Riemann tensor, the power will be positive and the integral will not diverge. Hence sudden singularities are not strong according to Tipler definition and therefore tidal forces do not crush all finite bodies. The spacetime may be extended across sudden singularities and cannot be considered the final fate of these universes [8].

CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that causal geodesics are not affected by sudden future singularities, since these singularities are not seen by geodesic equations.

Furthermore, considering just curvature singularities, it has been shown that they are weak according to Tipler's definition and therefore finite objects are not necessarily torn on crossing the singularities. This is in contrast with Big Rip singularities and therefore sudden singularities produce no Big Rip.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L. F.-J. is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science through research grant FIS2005-05198. R.L. is supported by the University of the Basque Country through research grant UPV00172.310-14456/2002 and by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science through research grant FIS2004-01626.

REFERENCES

1. R. R. Caldwell, *Phys. Lett.* **B545**, 23 (2002).
2. J. D. Barrow, *Class. Quan. Grav.* **21**, L79 (2004).
3. K. Lake, *Class. Quan. Grav.* **21**, L129 (2004).
4. J. D. Barrow, *Class. Quan. Grav.* **21**, 5619 (2004).
5. M. P. Dabrowski, *Phys. Rev.* **D71**, 103505 (2005).
6. S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **595**, 1 (2004), hep-th/0405078; *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 103522 (2004), hep-th/0408170; M. C. B. Abdalla, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, hep-th/0409177.
7. S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-time*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
8. L. Fernández-Jambrina, R. Lazkoz, *Phys. Rev.* **D70**, 121503 R1 (2004).
9. F. J. Tipler, *Phys. Lett.* **A64**, 8 (1977).
10. C. J. S. Clarke, A. Królak, *Journ. Geom. Phys.* **2**, 17 (1985).